

22 October 2025

Joint civil society statement on participation on environment, energy and decarbonisation in the Berlin Process

On the occasion of the Leaders' Summit in London on 22 October, we, the undersigned civil society organisations, would like to provide our feedback on the inclusion of civil society in the Berlin Process, both in 2025 and more generally, to inform the organisation of future events.

We welcome that the British Council's overriding aim this year has been to provide a space for open and inclusive dialogue, to ensure that the voice of civil society is heard within the broader Berlin Process. And the organization of a series of in-country workshops in Belgrade, Tirana, Podgorica, Sarajevo, Skopje, and Pristina to inform the <u>Civil Society and Think Tank Forum Policy Recommendations</u> was also welcome.

Nevertheless, despite these efforts, numerous relevant and active civil society organisations were still not included in the process, or were invited only to participate in the Civil Society and Think Tank Forum on 22–23 September 2025, which happened after the Policy Recommendations had already been finalised.¹

The in-country workshops reportedly gathered over 70 civil society representatives to identify key priorities across six thematic areas.² However, it is not clear how the participants were selected. 'Over 70' might sound like an impressive number, but spread across six countries and six topics, it amounts to just two representatives per country, per topic.

The Civil Society and Think Tank Forum could in principle have made up for this, but as the Policy Recommendations had already been finalised beforehand, and could not be amended to reflect the outcomes of the Forum, the event was not meaningful. And again, it was not clear how the participants and NGO speakers on panels were selected.

In addition, the logistical information needed improvement. It was not clear in the invitations whether travel costs could be covered, and invitations were sent out only three and a half weeks before the event. Considering the 2-3 day time commitment and cross-border travel needed in a period with numerous other events, this excluded some potential participants.

Overall, our impression is that the format of developing CSO Policy Recommendations and holding a Civil Society and Think Tank Forum has become rather stale and may serve to marginalise civil society more than including it.

Simply producing Recommendations every year and only having a rapporteur presenting them at the Leaders' Summit does not allow for a real exchange of opinions or ensure that the region's governments take the Recommendations into account in their decision-making.

We would therefore like to recommend the following:

¹ Many of the issues raised at the Civil Society Forum were included in the <u>Conference Report</u>, but dispersing them across different documents further decreases the chance of them being widely read.

² Common Regional Market and Growth; Gender and Diversity; Reconciliation and Dealing with the Past; Environment, Energy and Decarbonisation; Security; and Good Governance.



CSOs should be invited to and involved in thematic Berlin Process events rather than holding a separate Civil Society and Think Tank Forum.

Joint CSO Policy Recommendations may serve a role to collect input from a wider group than just those who attend events, but the process must be more open:

- It should be clearer how the rapporteurs are chosen;
- If the drafts are developed by working groups, an open call to join the groups needs to be circulated, to allow all relevant CSOs a chance to participate;
- The draft Policy Recommendations must be circulated among a wider group of CSOs for comments and suggestions. These CSOs must also be free to forward them to other relevant groups. At least two to three weeks should be allowed for comments, as well as time to check additions and amendments with the CSOs proposing them;
- The final draft Policy Recommendations for each theme should be circulated for explicit sign-ons by individual organisations working on those issues it cannot be presumed that they represent all of civil society;

If in-country workshops or meetings are held, the invitations need to be widely circulated to relevant groups, with an open registration process. The same goes if a regional Civil Society Forum is held.

If participant selection needs to be done, the criteria should be clear and transparent, based on the relevance of the organisations' work and their status as active non-profits. The organisers should consider asking several CSOs for recommendations on who to proactively invite.

If a Civil Society Forum is held, it needs to be done *before* the Policy Recommendations are finalised, with adequate time to incorporate the conclusions into the Recommendations.

Relatively equal representation of CSO representatives should be ensured irrespective of what shape regional events take, including by circulating invitations at least two months prior to the event and clarifying whether travel costs can be covered. The organisers should consider asking several CSOs for recommendations for panellists to represent civil society.

Supported by:

1. Aarhus center in BiH Bosnia and Herzegovina



2. Association of young ecologists of Niksic

Montenegro





3. Association Ruzica Serbia 4. Association Serbia Women for Peace žene za mir **Atlas** 5. Atlas Institute Kosovo Institute 6. Balkan Green Foundation Kosovo **BOS** 7. Belgrade Open School Serbia BELGRADE OPEN SCHOOL 8. Centar za društveni Serbia angažman CENTAR ZA DRUŠTVENI ANGAŽMAN 9. Centar za održivi razvoj i Bosna i Hercegovina ekologiju CORIE iz Trebinja 10. Centar za životnu sredinu Bosnia and Herzegovina Centar za životnu sredinu 11. CEDE - Center for Education and Development of Kosovo Environment Centar za istraživačko novinarstvo Kruševac 12. Center for Investigative Serbia Journalism Kruševac - CINK Bankwatch 13. CEE Bankwatch Network Regional CEE Network 14. Climate Action Network Regional Europe



15. CSO Environmental Society "Bujanovac"	Serbia	
16. Dommbig Medvedja	Serbia	POWMENT MEDECA
17. DYVÓ	Kosovo	DYVÓ
18. Ecology and Research Association	Bosnia and Herzegovina	E.I.D. ZOO TAND RESEARCH ASSOCIATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
19. EcoZ	Kosovo	ecoz
20. Eko Centar DELFIN	Montenegro	EKO CENTAR DELFIN
21. Eko forum Zenica	Bosnia and Herzegovina	eko forum
22. Ekološki pokret Padina	Serbia	EKOLOGICKÉ HNUTIE PADINA
23. Ekonaut	Serbia	ekonaut
24. Eko-svest Skopje	Macedonia	EKO CBECT
25. Environment Improvement Centre	Serbia	CENTAR ZA UNAPREĐENJE ŽIVOTNE SREDINE
26. Environment Programme	Montenegro	ENVPRO



27. Fondacija Centar za demokratiju / Center for Democracy Foundation

Serbia

FCD FONDACIJA
CENTAR ZA DEMOKRATIJU
CENTER FOR DEMOCRACY FOUNDATION

28. Humanitarna organizacija

Sparta

Montenegro

SPARTA
SNACA KOJA POMAŽE
SNEC KOJE ŠITII

29. Institut za istraživanje korupcije Kareja

Srbija

Удружење грађана Институт за истраживање коруг Панчево





30. iRevolucija

Serbia



31. Just Finance International Europe



32. Kancelarija za razvoj, obrazovanje i brigu o

građanima KROV, Novi Beograd

Serbia

33. KidHub

Serbia



34. Konfederacija slobodnih

Development Centre - KADC

sindikata

Serbia

KOSOVA DEMOCRATIC

35. Kosova Democratic Institute Kosovo

36. Kosovo Advocacy and Kosovo





37. Let's Do It Kosova	Kosovo	PASTROJME KOSOVEN
38. Network for Youth Activism of Montenegro	Montenegro	MREŽA ZA OMLADINSKI AKTIVIZAM Crne Gore
39. NGO Active Zone	Montenegro	AKTIVNAZONA
40. NGO Center for Civil Values	Serbia	CENTAR GRADANSKIH VREDNOSTI
41. NGO Center for New Initiatives	Montenegro	CENTAR ZA NOVE INICIJATIVE
42. NGO Center for the Affirmation of the Roma and Egyptian Community – "CAREP"	Montenegro	AN THE STATE OF TH
43. NGO Dunav BNS	Serbia	NVO "DUNAV BNS" BAČKO NOVO SELO
44. NGO Eco-team	Montenegro	ECO-TEAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
45. NGO Education for the future Aurora	Serbia	ARASHOZORIE
46. NGO Ekvitas - Aequitas	Montenegro	AEQUITAS — SUSTAINABLE ALTERNATIVE —

TA Leth and doit



47. NU Organizacija KOD	Montenegro	KO
48. Organic Agriculture and Environment Association	Albania	SAEO
49. "Organic Bee Clinic"	Serbia	
50. Organizata për Mbrojtjen e Ambientit "Kaça"	Kosovo	mbaje gjelbërt A
51. Organization for Political Ecology Polekol	Serbia	Organizacija za političku ekologiju
52. Ozon Space NGO	Kosovo	
53. Podrinjski anti korupcijski tim PAKT	Serbia	Loznica Podrinjski Anti Korupcijski Tim
54. REC Reconciliation Empowering Communities	Kosovo	RECONCILIATION EMPOWERING COMMUNITIES
55. Regional Action Lab (Re-ACT Lab)	Regional/Kosovo	RE-ACT Son Policymaking and Good Governance
56. The Balkan Forum	Kosovo	THE BALKAN FORUM
57. Udruga Dinarica, Mostar	Bosnia and Herzegovina	Dinarica



67. Za Suboticu svim srcem

58. Udruženje "KVIR FRONT" Serbia 59. Udruženje za podršku osobama ometenim u razvoju Serbia NAŠA KUĆA 60. Udruženje za prirodu i Serbia društvo Multivox 61. Udruženje za zaštitu prava potrošača "Prosperitet" Novi Serbia Sad 62. UG ToPeeR Bosnia and Herzegovina 63. UNECOOP Serbia 64. Vizioni Rinor i Shalës Kosovo VOJVODINA 65. Vojvodina Environmental Serbia **ENVIRONMENTAL** Movement MOVEMENT Young Researchers 66. Young Researchers of Serbia Serbia of Serbia Voluntary Service of Serbia

This activity is part of the 'Green Agenda Navigator' project supported by the European Union. The project is implemented by the <u>Belgrade Open School</u> in cooperation with six regional partners: the <u>Aarhus Centre Association</u>, <u>Eco-Team</u> organization, <u>Eco-Z</u> organization, the Center for Environmental Research and Information <u>Eko-Svest</u>, the <u>Protection and Preservation of Natural Environment</u> organization and <u>CEE Bankwatch Network</u>.

Serbia